

Dear Dr. Arnaud Giacometti
The President of the University of Tours

RE: Court proceedings in Canada involving the Islamic Republic of Iran and a former student of the University of Tours

I am writing to you about UT's interest in legal actions

Masood Masjoody v. Simon Fraser University, Amélie Trotignon

in the courts of British Columbia in Canada, which involve a former student of UT as a defendant.

There have been aspects of these actions that put UT in the position of a party of interest so that UT may want to intervene in these matters both to seek damages and to protect its interest from future harm by Simon Fraser University or other enablers of the Islamist terrorists whose number is on the rise within Canadian academia.

I started legal action to seek damages for retaliatory defamation and conspiracy that were planned by the SFU administration and carried out by the defendants in response to the disclosure in 2019 of SFU's expansive engagement with the regime of the Islamic Republic of Iran (designated as a state supporter of terrorism in Canada), particularly with [agents](#) of that regime who are active in its programs to develop ballistic missiles and military drones and are tied with top violators of human rights in and outside Iran, including the regime's leader and president.

Of particular interest to UT is that SFU coerced, expansively used, then silenced and effectively took hostage a then-student of the UT, i.e., Amélie Trotignon, who SFU used in its revengeful conspiratorial campaign against me.

Since I started my legal action in Canadian courts in 2020, SFU tried to corrupt the courts and buy influence therein to the end of hiding their criminalities from the public eye. As a result, there has been no trial so far. However, there are appeal proceedings going on that aim to rectify previous courts' errors and misconduct- particularly the bias of judges who stopped the proceedings from moving forward- and are expected to bring the wrongdoers to justice in the trial court in the coming months.

It is likely that if SFU's conspiracy and subsequent cover-up are not strongly confronted, exposed, and defeated, SFU and other Canadian universities will continue to engage or use

other international or exchange students, from France (as in Amélie Trotignon's case) or elsewhere, in and around their dealings with Islamist terrorists, particularly from the Islamic Republic.

With these considerations, the University of Tours can reasonably intervene in the ongoing appeal proceedings and also in any subsequent court hearings as a third party. If that is the case, I would also support a UT's claim against SFU for aspects of the defendant's conspiracy and cover-up that are connected to or have caused an infringement of a legal right of the University of Tours.

Apart from Amélie Trotignon, the [following](#) individuals were among the initiating or continuing revengeful conspirators and enablers of the Islamic Republic's agents from within the SFU administration: Marni Julie Mishna (Amélie Trotignon's supervisor), Mary Catherine Kropinski (a then department chair), Paul Kench (a faculty dean), [Robert B. Kennedy](#) (SFU's counsel), and former and current presidents of SFU, Andrew Petter and Joy Johnson.

Given the strength of the appeal and growing public concern and vigilance about foreign interference in Canadian institutions (including courts) by the Islamic Republic, it is expected that the rule of law will prevail and the appeal will succeed so that in the coming months the case will be before the trial court. In both sets of proceedings, I welcome the intervention of the University of Tours.

I am [sharing](#) links to some of the related documents and letters to the courts.

Looking forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Masood Masjoody

Feb 16, 2023